

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 2357 OF 2006

Ramlu Mantaya Racharala ... Petitioner

V/s.

Chief Executive Officer,
SRA & ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Anita Agarwal with Mr. S.S. Jinsiwala for the petitioner.
Mr. G.D. Utangale i/b. M/s. Utangale & Co. for respondent No. 1.
Mr. Pradeep Jadhav, AGP for respondent Nos. 2,3 & 6.
Mr. P.B. Naiknaware for respondent No. 4.

**CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : 28th November, 2006**

P.C.

Reply has been filed on behalf of MHADA. It is pointed out therein that the subject matter of the petition is a part of Mass Housing Projects being undertaken by the respondents. There are altogether 56 hutment dwellers, out of which 18 have been held to be eligible and balance 32 hutment dwellers have not submitted their documents. Those who are eligible, will be entitled to the some benefits as under SRA scheme. Therefore, we are not inclined to exercise our extra-ordinary jurisdiction. It will be, however, open to the 32 hutment dwellers, if their cases are not considered, to apply to the respondents for

determination of the eligibility. They should do so within a period of six weeks from today and the respondents, on receipt of said applications, to decide the same not later than 12 weeks thereafter.

2. With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]